

Commerzbank AG Proposed Low-Trigger Tier 1 Capital Notes Assigned 'BB' Rating

June 25, 2019

FRANKFURT (S&P Global Ratings) June 25, 2019--S&P Global Ratings said today that it assigned its 'BB' long-term issue rating to the proposed low-trigger additional Tier 1 (AT1) perpetual capital notes to be issued by Commerzbank AG. The rating is subject to our review of the notes' final documentation. This is the bank's first issuance of Basel III-compliant AT1 notes. It has some legacy tier 1 notes in issuance that are subject to regulatory grandfathering.

In accordance with our criteria for hybrid capital instruments ("Bank Hybrid Capital And Nondeferrable Subordinated Debt Methodology And Assumptions," published Jan. 29, 2015), the 'BB' issue rating reflects our analysis of the proposed instrument and our 'bbb+' assessment of the stand-alone credit profile (SACP) of Commerzbank. The issue rating stands four notches below the SACP due to the following deductions:

- One notch because the notes are contractually subordinated;
- Two notches reflecting the notes' discretionary coupon payments and regulatory Tier 1 capital status; and
- One notch because the notes contain a contractual write-down clause.

Although the principal is subject to write-down if the bank's common equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio falls below 5.125%, we see this as a gone-concern trigger that does not pose additional default

As an EU-domiciled bank, Commerzbank's AT1 instruments also face coupon nonpayment risk if the bank has insufficient additional distributable items (ADI), or if it breaches its capital requirements--defined as the sum of the Pillar 1 and Pillar 2 requirements plus combined buffers--known as the minimum distributable amount (MDA) thresholds.

We see Commerzbank's ADIs of €21 billion (under the updated capital requirements regulation) as comfortable. Its MDA thresholds in 2019 are CET1 of 10.1%, regulatory Tier 1 capital of 11.6%, and total capital of 13.6%, against which the bank reported ratios of 12.7%, 13.2%, and 16.0% at end-March. We regard its regulatory Tier 1 capital ratio headroom of only 1.5% as notable, although this level is not unusual among European peers and should be viewed also in the context of the bank's moderate but fairly predictable earnings. We therefore do not constrain the issue credit ratings on its deferrable instruments. However, we will continue to monitor the bank's MDA headroom, not least because its MDA thresholds could increase in 2020 when it fully phases in its domestic systemically important bank buffer.

Once Commerzbank has issued the securities and confirmed them as part of the bank's regulatory Tier 1 capital base, we would expect them to qualify as having intermediate equity content under

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Harm Semder

Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-158 harm.semder @spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Felix Winnekens

(49) 69-33-999-245 felix.winnekens @spglobal.com

Commerzbank AG Proposed Low-Trigger Tier 1 Capital Notes Assigned 'BB' Rating

our criteria. This reflects our understanding that the notes are perpetual, regulatory Tier 1 capital instruments that have no step-up. The notes can absorb losses on a going-concern basis through the nonpayment of coupons, which are fully discretionary.

Related Criteria

- Criteria | Financial Institutions | General: Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Methodology, July 20.2017
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Guarantee Criteria. Oct. 21, 2016
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Bank Rating Methodology And Assumptions: Additional Loss-Absorbing Capacity, April 27, 2015
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Bank Hybrid Capital And Nondeferrable Subordinated Debt Methodology And Assumptions, Jan. 29, 2015
- General Criteria: Principles For Rating Debt Issues Based On Imputed Promises, Dec. 19, 2014
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Quantitative Metrics For Rating Banks Globally: Methodology And Assumptions, July 17, 2013
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Banks: Rating Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Commercial Paper I: Banks, March 23, 2004

Related Research

- Bulletin: As Commerzbank And Deutsche Bank Talks End, What Now For The Two Banks? April 26, 2019 Commerzbank AG

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitalig.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.



Copyright © 2019 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.